

## Effects of Rupture Directivity on Earthquake Loss Estimation

By Brian Sjoberg, Paolo Bazzurro, Jaesung Park and Nicolas Luco

A seismic loss analysis, whether for a single structure or a portfolio of properties, requires a relationship between a chosen ground motion intensity measure, IM, and structural loss. This relationship, called a Loss Function, LF, is developed here by a two-step procedure that combines analytical and empirical data. A suite of ground motions is first run through a structure and the resulting analytical relationship linking losses to IM is obtained via regression. This relationship is then calibrated using loss data from past earthquakes. Typically, the spectral acceleration,  $S_a$ , at the structure's fundamental period of vibration,  $T_I$ , is used as the IM of choice. Studies have shown, however, that near-source forward-directivity ground motions are, on average, more damaging than other accelerograms with the same  $S_a(T_I)$ . This is true for moderate to long-period structures and, less intuitively, for stiffer structures whose  $T_I$  value is significantly shorter than the period of velocity pulses observed in fault-normal components of forward-directivity records. This study illustrates the different damageability of near-source accelerograms from both forward and backward directivity regions to stiff woodframe structures from the CUREE-Caltech Woodframe Project. We predict the loss for several woodframes using two-parameter loss surfaces (derived from nonlinear dynamic analysis of about 400 recordings) that incorporate  $S_a(T_I)$  and the directivity parameter  $X\cos(\theta)$  or  $Y\cos(\phi)$  introduced by Somerville *et. al.* We also show for a portfolio located close to a fault the differences in probabilistic loss estimates obtained by utilizing a LF based on  $S_a(T_I)$  versus a loss surface based on both  $S_a(T_I)$  and  $X\cos(\theta)$ .

